Brooks, Pierce, McLendon, Humphrey & Leonard, LLP.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RALEIGH, NORTH GAROLINA

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

L.P. McLENDON, JR.
HUBERT HUMPHREY
EDGAR B. FISHER, JR.
W. ERMIN FULLER, JR.
JAMES T. WILLIAMS, JR.
WADE H. HARRGROVE
M. DANIEL MCGINN
MICHAEL D. MEEKER
WILLIAM G. MCNARY
EDWARD C. WINSLOW III
HOWARD L. WILLIAMS
GEORGE W. HOUSE
WILLIAM F.H. CARY
REID L. PHILLIPS
ROBERT A. SINGER
JOHN H. SMALL
RANDALL A. UNDERWOOD
S. LEIGH RODENBOUGH IV
WILLIAM G. ROSS, JR.
MARK J. PRAK
JILL R. WILSON
MARK J. PRAK
JILL R. WILSON
MARK D. BISHOP
JIM W. PHILLIPS, JR.
MACK SPERLING
JEFFREY E. OLEYNIK
MARK DAVIDSON
MELISSA H. WEAVER
JAMES R. SAINTBING

JOHN W. ORMAND III
ROBERT J. KING III
V. RANDALL TINSLEY
JOHN R. ARCHAMBAULT
S. KYLE WOOSLEY
CATHERINE THOMAS McGEE
WILLIAM C. SCOTT
DANIEL M. SROKA
FORREST W. CAMPBELL. JR.
MARCUS W. TRATHEN
JEAN C. BROOKS
ANNE C. BRENNAN
ELLEN P. HAMRICK
ALLISON M. GRIMM
JAMES G. ADAMS, II
ELIZABETH S. BREWINGTON
JOHN K. EASON
WAYNE A. LOGAN
H. ARTHUR BOLICH II
NATASHARATH MARCUS
ELIZABETH FAECHER CRABILL
JOHN M. CROSS, JR.
WINSTON P. LLOYD
EDWARD S. MEDINA
JENNIFER K. VAN ZANT
W. KEARNG DAVIS, JR.
TRACEY BANKS COAN
DAVO W. SAR

MAILING ADDRESS POST OFFICE BOX 1800 RALEIGH, N.C. 27602 STREET ADDRESS
SUITE 1600
PIRST UNION CAPITOL CENTER
RALEIGH, N.C. 27601

TELEPHONE 919-839-0300 FACSIMILE 919-839-0304

AUBREY L BROOKS (1672-1658)
W. H HOLDERNESS (1904-1965)
L. P. MCLENDON (1890-1966)
KENNETH M. BRIM (1698-1974)
C. T. LEONARD, J.R. (1928-1963)
CLAUDE C. PIERCE (1913-1968)
THORNTON H. BROOKS (1612-1968)

Greensboro Office 2000 Renaissance Plaza Greensboro, N.C. 2740i

Washington office 2000 L street n.W., suite 200 Washington, D.C 20036

February 7, 1997

BY HAND-DELIVERY

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Stop Code - 1170 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 91-221; MM Docket No. 94-150

Comments of Bahakel Communications

Dear Mr. Caton:

Transmitted herewith for filing on behalf of Bahakel Communications, Charlotte, North Carolina, are an original and four (4) copies of Comments of Bahakel Communications in the above-referenced proceeding.

If any questions should arise in connection with your consideration of this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

BROOKS, PIERCE, McLENDON, HUMPHREY & LEONARD, L.L.P.

Marcus W. Trathen

Counsel to Bahakel Communications

Enclosures

cc: Beverly Bahakel Poston (w/encl.)

Fine a year

BROOKS PIERCE

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Review of the Commission's)	MM Docket No. 91-221
Regulations Governing)	
Television Broadcasting)	
)	MM Docket No. 94-150
Review of the Commission's)	
Regulations Governing Attribution)	
of Broadcast and Cable/MDS Interests)	

COMMENTS OF BAHAKEL COMMUNICATIONS

Bahakel Communications ("Bahakel") submits these Comments in response to the Commission's request for comments in the above-referenced proceedings. In support thereof, Bahakel respectfully states as follows:

Bahakel is a privately-owned group operator, through subsidiaries or affiliates, of eight television broadcast stations, including WCCB(TV), Charlotte, North Carolina; WOLO(TV), Columbia, South Carolina; WAKA(TV), Selma, Alabama; WBBJ(TV), Jackson, Tennessee; WRSP(TV), Springfield, Illinois; WCCU(TV), Urbana-Champaign, Illinois; WABG(TV), Greenwood, Mississippi; and WBAK(TV), Terre Haute, Indiana.

¹ Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 91-221, FCC 96-437 (Released: November 7, 1996) ("National TV Notice"); Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 91-221 (Released: November 7, 1996) ("Local TV Second Further Notice"); and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 94-150, FCC 96-436 (Released: November 7, 1996) ("Attribution Further Notice").

Ø 004

Bahakel submits these Comments in order to oppose any proposals to liberalize the television duopoly rule and to support the Commission's proposal to treat television LMAs as "attributable"

ownership interests.

Bahakel believes that the existing television duopoly restrictions should be maintained. The

accumulation of market power in local markets is not a positive force in the television industry.

Bahakel supports the Commission's proposal to treat television LMAs as attributable for

purposes of the Commission's television ownership rules. Currently, by utilizing LMAs, television

broadcasters can effectively control and program two stations in the same market. This

concentration of market power is unfair to those who comply with the Rules by controlling and

owning only one station in a market. Ultimately, the current LMA rule, without an attribution

requirement, undercuts the local market ownership limits.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons described above, Bahakel opposes the Commission's proposals to liberalize

the duopoly rule and supports the Commission's proposal to tighten the LMA rules.

Bahakel anticipates filing more extensive comments in reply to the comments of other parties

in this proceeding.

- 2 -

9197430225 -> ITS Inc; Page 5

BROOKS PIERCE

Unicaloope 1.55 € 005

₫005

Respectfully submitted,

BAHAKEL COMMUNICATIONS

1 Television Place Charlotte, North Carolina 28232 (704) 372-4434

February 6, 1997